

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/616,279	Applicant(s) HARKINS ET AL.	
	Examiner Michael Szperka	Art Unit 1644	

All Participants:

 (1) Michael Szperka.

 (2) Sarah Adriano.
Status of Application: finally rejected

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 July 2007
Time: 3PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:


Claims discussed:

35, 47, and 48

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant's representative agreed to the changes detailed in the examiner's amendment to place the application in condition for allowance.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)